Security: Cryptography

Computer Science and Engineering
College of Engineering
The Ohio State University

Lecture 37

Some High-Level Goals

- Confidentiality (aka Authorization)
 - Non-authorized users have limited access
- Integrity
 - Accuracy/correctness/validity of data
- Availability
 - No down-time or disruptions
- Authentication
 - Agents are who they claim to be
- Non-repudiation
 - A party to a transaction can not later deny their participation

- □ Target people ("social engineering")
 - Phishing: email, phone, surveys, ...
 - Baiting: click & install, physical media, ...
- Target software ("exploits")
 - Unpatched OS, browser, programs
 - Buffer overflow
 - Code injection and cross-site scripting
- Target channel ("man-in-the-middle")
 - Eavesdropping
 - Masquerading, tampering, replay

Cryptography

- Etymology (Greek)
 - kryptos: hidden or secret
 - *grapho*: write
- Basic problem:
 - 2 agents (traditionally "Alice" and "Bob")
 - A & B want to exchange private messages
 - Channel between A & B is not secure ("Eve" is eavesdropping)
- Solution has other applications too
 - Protect stored data (e.g. on disk, or in cloud)
 - Digital signatures for non-repudiation
 - Secure passwords for authentication

Core Idea: A Shared Secret

- □ Alice & Bob share some *secret*
 - Secret can not be the message itself
 - Secret used to protect arbitrary messages
- Crude analogy: a padlock
 - Copies of the physical key are the secret
 - Alice puts message in box and locks it
 - Bob unlocks box and reads message
- But real channels are bit streams
 - Eve can see the bits!
 - Message must be garbled in some way
 - Secret is strategy for garbling/degarbling

Protecting Messages

- Alice garbles (encrypts) the message
- Sends the encrypted cipher-text
- Bob knows how to degarble (decrypt) cipher-text back into plain-text



Encryption/Decryption Function



Families of Encryption Functions

- Each pair of agents needs their own E
 - Many E's (& corresponding D's) needed
- But good E's are hard to invent
- Solution: design one (good) E, which is parameterized by a number
 - That is, have a huge *family* of E's: $E_0, E_1, E_2, \dots E_K$
 - Everyone knows the family of E's
 - Secret: which E_i is used (*i* is the key)

Classic Example: Caesar Cipher

- □ Shift each letter by *x* positions in alphabet
 - Example: x = 3
 - $a \rightarrow d, b \rightarrow e, c \rightarrow f, d \rightarrow g, e \rightarrow h, ...$
 - The key is *x*
- Encode a string character-by-character
 - For m ="hello world", $E_3(m) =$ "khoor zruog"
- □ Questions:
 - What is P (set of plaintext messages)?
 - What is Q (set of ciphertext messages)?
 - How many different ciphers?
 - Is this a strong or weak cipher?

Classic Example: Caesar Cipher

Computer Science and Engineering
The Ohio State University

- □ Shift each letter by *x* positions in alphabet
 - *E.g. x* = 3
 - $a \rightarrow d, b \rightarrow e, c \rightarrow f, d \rightarrow g, e \rightarrow h, ...$
 - The key is x
- Encode a string character-by-character
 - For m ="hello world", $E_3(m) =$ "khoor zruog"

Questions:

- What is P (set of plaintext messages)?
 The alphabet, ie {"a", "b", "c", "d", "e", ...}
- What is Q (set of ciphertext messages)?
 The alphabet, ie {"a", "b", "c", "d", "e", ...}
- How many different ciphers?
 26
- Is this a strong or weak cipher?
 - Weak: Just try all 26 possibilities

Generalized Caesar Cipher

Computer Science and Engineering
The Ohio State University

Generalization: arbitrary mapping

- Example: The qwerty shift
 a → s, b → n, c → v, d → f, e → r, ...
- For m = "hello world", E(m) = "jraap eptaf"
- 26! possible ciphers... that's a lot!
 - □ Approximately 4 x 10²⁶
 - □ There are $\sim 10^{18}$ nanoseconds/century
- Weakness?

Generalized Caesar Cipher

Computer Science and Engineering
The Ohio State University

Generalization: arbitrary mapping

- Example: The qwerty shift
 a → s, b → n, c → v, d → f, e → r, ...
- For m = "hello world", E(m) = "jraap eptaf"
- 26! possible ciphers... that's a lot!
 - □ Approximately 4 x 10²⁶
 - □ There are ~10¹⁸ nanoseconds/century
- Weakness?
 - In English text, letters appear in predictable ratios
 - From enough ciphertext, can infer E

Frequency Analysis



Leon Battista Alberti

Computer Science and Engineering
The Ohio State University



Santa Maria Novella Facade «» Leon Battista Alberti (1470) «» Florenc



WW II: Enigma Machine



Polyalphabetic Cipher

- Alberti's idea: Use different E_i's within the same message
 E("hello world") =
 - $E_{a}(h'')E_{b}(h'')E_{c}(h'')E_{d}(h'')E_{e$
- Alice & Bob agree on the sequence of E's to use
- □ Claude Shannon proved that this method is perfectly secure (1949)
 - Precise information-theoretic meaning
 - Known as a one-time pad

One-Time Pad

Computer Science and Engineering
The Ohio State University

Message is a sequence of bits

 $m_0 m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4 m_5 m_{6..}$

One-time pad is random bit sequence

 $\mathbf{x}_0 \ \mathbf{x}_1 \ \mathbf{x}_2 \ \mathbf{x}_3 \ \mathbf{x}_4 \ \mathbf{x}_5 \ \mathbf{x}_{6\dots}$

 \Box E is bit-wise XOR operation, \oplus

Cipher text is

 $m_0^{\oplus} \mathbf{x}_0 \ m_1^{\oplus} \mathbf{x}_1 \ m_2^{\oplus} \mathbf{x}_2 \ m_3^{\oplus} \mathbf{x}_3 \ m_4^{\oplus} \mathbf{x}_4 \ m_5^{\oplus} \mathbf{x}_5 \ m_6^{\oplus} \mathbf{x}_{6...}$

Problem: Pad is long and cannot be reused (hence cumbersome to share)

In practice: pseudo-random sequence, generated from a seed (the key)

Not perfectly secure, in Shannon sense

Comparison: Stream vs Block

Computer Science and Engineering
The Ohio State University

Stream Cipher

Encrypts bit-by-bit

$$\square |P| = |Q| = 2$$

- Few choices for E (roughly 2)
- Message can have any length

Block Cipher

 Encrypts a fixedlength (k-bit) sequence

$$\square |P| = |Q| = 2^k$$

- Many choices for E (roughly 2^k!)
- Padding added s.t. $|m| \mod k = 0$

Example of Block Cipher: AES

- Advanced Encryption Standard (2001)
 Replaced DES (1977)
- Block size always 128 bits (4x4 bytes)
- □ Key size is 128, 192, or 256 bits
- Multi-step algorithm, many rounds





Limitation of Fixed Block Size

Computer Science and Engineering
The Ohio State University

Message can be longer than block size
 Reuse same E for each block?
 Danger: Frequency analysis vulnerability
 Don't do this (for multiblock messages)!



Electronic Codebook (ECB) mode encryption



https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Image:Tux_ecb.jpg https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Tux.jpg

Solution: Initialization Vector

Computer Science and Engineering
The Ohio State University

- Add a random block to start
- Combine adjacent blocks to make ciphertext block
 - Many combination strategies (aka modes)



Cipher Block Chaining (CBC) mode encryption

Summary

Computer Science and Engineering
The Ohio State University

Cryptography

- Encryption: Maps plaintext \rightarrow ciphertext
- Decryption is the inverse
- Symmetric-key encryption
 - Sender and receiver share (same) secret key
 - Stream ciphers work one bit at a time (e.g., one-time pad)
 - Block ciphers work on larger blocks of bits (e.g., AES)